Guidelines for submissions to the Global Labour Column

Please send proposed contributions to the editor:
claire.ceruti@global-labour-university.org

Kindly ensure that you check the contribution against these guidelines before submitting it

What is the GLC?

The Global Labour Column (GLC) is a collaboration between the Global Labour University (GLU)1 and the Society, Work and Development Institute (SWOP) at the University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa. It is edited by Claire Ceruti.

The GLC was established in 2009 to reflect on the challenges to and responses from the labour movement in the wake of the global economic crisis. Over time, its scope has broadened to encompass a wide range of issues relevant to labour. Issues covered have included strike action in the South African public sector and in Chinese automotive factories, economic and social policy debates, movements contesting austerity policies or critical discussions of corporate initiatives to protect labour rights. The GLC, which now has more than 15 000 monthly readers2, provides a forum for unions, academics and other progressive voices around the world to debate and share knowledge and experiences.

We seek original contributions which are informative, analytical and useful for labour activists and researchers. We accept contributions along the lines of opinion pieces, journalistic summaries of academic papers, commentary on government responses to current issues, reports on unfolding struggles, and debates within specific national contexts regarding global labour issues. When contributions draw from existing work (such as a book or journal article), they should distil the key message from it and not be presented as a summary. Articles focussing on a particular national issue should be contextualised sufficiently to be followed by readers with no knowledge of the country.

Review process

The GLC is not a blog. It is an edited publication with a rigorous blind review process. Potential contributors should be prepared to rework their text based on comments from a reviewer, the editors, and possibly the sub-editor. The editors work with a team of reviewers based in South Africa and at the ILO, calling on external reviewers where particular expertise is needed.

The reviewer advises the editors whether the article should be rejected, published without any changes, published with minor changes, or published with major changes. The report is then sent to the author, who has up to two weeks to amend the article as required. Once

---

1 The GLU is a network of universities, trade unions, labour foundations and the ILO offering unique masters programmes to trade unionists around the world (Brazil, South Africa, India, Germany and the US). These courses are jointly developed by universities and workers’ organisations and are aimed at students from trade union and social movement backgrounds in developed, developing and transition economies. GLU aims to bring together and inform labour activists to address the challenges of achieving sustainable development, while promoting social justice and international labour standards in a globalising world.

2 This figure is conservative, and estimated by adding the 3600+ subscribers to our mailing list, to the 12000+ who visit our blog every month.
approved by the reviewer and the editors, the article may be sent to a sub-editor for language editing. The sub-editing of articles is not automatic.

The GLC emphasises quality in the style of writing and in the review process because we may select the contributor’s article to form part of our published anthologies. We also recommend that authors consider the potential for their contributions to be expanded into an article for our sister journal, the Global Labour Journal (more information at https://escarpmentpress.org/globallabour).

Due to the large number of submissions we receive, we are not able to guarantee a publication date.

Requirements for submission

These requirements aim to keep the Column widely accessible.
- Contributions should stimulate debate and have high quality, critical content but be free from academic or overtly technical jargon. Where technical terms are unavoidable they should be briefly explained.
- They should be short (1500 words maximum, including references and footnotes)
- There should be no more than 3 footnotes and 5 references in the Harvard style (explained below) and 3 subheadings, each no more than three or four words.
- We encourage authors to use English (UK) spellchecking.

Style guidelines

- We use % not percent
- We use single quote marks for direct quotations, and double quote marks for direct speech or quotations inside quotations (for example: According to CNN, ‘Mabuso explained that the current structure of the bargaining chamber was “not conducive” to friendly relations’ (CNN, 2016).
- We prefer dates to seasons when situating events (‘September 2009’, not ‘in the spring of 2009’) unless the season is directly relevant and the region specified (‘the coal strike during the Zimbabwean winter’), or part of a widely known name (‘the Arab Spring’) because we are a global publication. Whenever it is spring somewhere it is autumn somewhere else and none of the above around the equator.
- Please provide two or three tags (key words)

Guidelines for referencing (Harvard style):

- When referencing in-text: (Mamdani, 2004)
- When quoting from the text: (Mamdani, 2004: 34)
- At the end of the article only material cited in the text should be listed:
- Newspaper titles should be italicised (e.g. The Daily Graphic).
- Web sites must be cited with a title and/or author, as well as the link and the date viewed: www.info.usaid.gov/eg/econ.htm, accessed 10 July 2006.
- Titles of publications which are not in English should preferably be translated into English with the original language noted in brackets after the title.